

03500.017418.

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
MITSUTOSHI HASEGAWA ET AL.	:)	Examiner: C.M. Raabe
Application No.: 10/624,637	:	Group Art Unit: 2879
Filed: July 23, 2003	:	
For: IMAGE DISPLAY DEVICE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME	:) :)	November 1, 2005
Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	ŕ	

SECOND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

In compliance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 and in accordance with the practice under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the Examiner's attention is directed to the documents listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449. Copies of the listed documents are also enclosed, together with a copy of the Japanese Official letter citing the documents listed on the Form PTO-1449. The documents were cited in a Japanese Official letter issued in a Japanese counterpart application. A partial English translation of a portion of that letter follows:

The document 1 (in particular, refer to paragraphs [0074] - [0076] and [0084] and Fig. 1) discloses forming preliminary a non evaporation getter on a face plate, and forming an evaporation getter on the face plate by a getter flash before a sealing.

The document 2 discloses forming the non-evaporation getter on the image display member.

The reference 3 describe a thickness of the evaporation getter.

In view of the document 1, claim 1 can be readily deduced by those skilled in the art.

Claims 2-5 do not have an inventive-step over the documents 1-3.

Claims 7-20 define independently a step for forming each getter. Accordingly, in view of the document 1 disclosing each of the steps, and the document 2, claims 7-20 can be readily deduced.

And, an order of the process steps in relation to a baking step would be merely an obvious design matter.

A getter material defined in claims 21-22 would be a well-known design matter even without citing any relevant prior art.

For the concise explanation of relevance for non-English document 52-091364, the Examiner also is respectfully referred to the English Abstract attached thereto.

Also, for the concise statement of relevance for non-English document 2002-175756, the Examiner is respectfully referred to the English Abstract attached thereto and to the English-language counterpart U.S. Patent No. 6,821,174 B2.

Japanese Publication No. 09-082245 also was cited in the Japanese official letter, but is not listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 because it was cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed December 18, 2003.

It is respectfully requested that the above information be considered by the Examiner and that an initialed copy of the enclosed Form PTO-1449 be returned indicating that such information has been considered.

A check for \$180.00 is enclosed. Please charge any deficiency in this fee to Deposit Account 06-1205.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank A. DeLucia Attorney for Applicants

Registration No.42,476

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-3801 Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FORM PTO 1449 (modified)			ATTY DOCKET NO. 03500.017418.	APPLICATION NO.						
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCEP E PATENT AND TRADEMARK OF ECE			03500.017418. 10/624,637 APPLICANTS							
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S)				MITSUTOSHI HASEGAWA ET AL.						
\ \\ \(\(\text{NOV} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\			FILING DATE July 23, 2003		GROUP 2879					
Date Submitted to PTO:[DATE] U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS										
*EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE			
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS										
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT			
		52-091364	08/01/97	Japan			Abstract			
		2002-175756	06/21/02	Japan			Abstract			
OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)										
EXAMINER				DATE CONSIDERED						

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet_1_ of _1_